



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,268	07/31/2003	Christian T. Gregory	42922-RBRES	5487
22242	7590	03/07/2006		
FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY			EXAMINER	
120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET			KIM, CHRISTOPHER S	
SUITE 1600				
CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3752	

DATE MAILED: 03/07/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

SJP

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/632,268	GREGORY ET AL.
	Examiner Christopher S. Kim	Art Unit 3752

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 January 2006.
 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application.
 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
 6) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are rejected.
 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachments(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on November 16, 2005 has been entered.
2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. Claims 1-26, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hegstad (2,778,687).

Hegstad discloses a nozzle comprising: a nozzle body 10; a nozzle outlet 13; a front face plane (outside surface parallel with surface 23); a plurality of ramps 29, 31

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed November 16, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that Hegstad does not show a plurality of discrete ramps at the lower margin of the nozzle outlet that extend forwardly and downwardly therefrom. It appears that Applicant has categorically misunderstands the rejection. Additionally, applicant fails to give the claims the broadest reasonable interpretation but gives the claims a very narrow interpretation based on his disclosure and drawings. The pertinent part of claims argued by the applicant is reproduced below:

... said nozzle outlet including a lower margin, and
having an outboard side defining a front face; and
a plurality of discrete ramps formed at said lower
margin of said nozzle outlet and extending forwardly and
angularly downwardly therefrom each of said ramps having a
selected declination angle...

First, the nozzle outlet 13 of Hegsted has a lower margin: the area which contains surfaces 31 and 29. The term margin is defined as: an edge and the area immediately adjacent to it; a border. Surfaces 31 and 29 are at the lower boarder of outlet 13. Second, the nozzle outlet 13 has an outboard side (outboard area to outlet 13 on the front end surface of nozzle body 10 as shown in figures 2 and 3; area indicated by reference line 11 in figures 2 and 3) defining a front face. Third, Hegsted discloses a plurality of discrete ramps 31 and 29. The ramps 31 and 29 extend forwardly (to the left of the page when viewed in figure 3) and angularly downwardly (to the bottom of the page when viewed in figure 3). Each of the ramps 31 and 29 has a selected declination angle (declination angle relative to line 24; for example, when a line is drawn perpendicular to the downstream edge of surface 31, the line interests axes 24 and forms a selected declination angle).

Applicant argues that walls 31 are vertical in the side of the sides of the nozzle and are not angled. It may be true that walls 31 are vertical, i.e., walls 31 may be parallel to each other, but applicant's claimed invention does not preclude such a configuration. It merely requires wall 31 to be extending forwardly and angularly downwardly. The downstream edge of wall 31 is not perpendicular to axes 24 and the upper and lower edges of wall 31 are not parallel to axes 34. Therefore, wall 31 is angled downwardly (angled down the page when viewed in figure 3). It appears that applicant is arguing that Hegstad's wall 31 must either go into the page or come out of the page when viewed in figure 3. Such is not required by the claims.

Conclusion

5. All claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the application prior to the entry of the submission under 37 CFR 1.114 and could have been finally rejected on the grounds and art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the application prior to entry under 37 CFR 1.114. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL** even though it is a first action after the filing of a request for continued examination and the submission under 37 CFR 1.114. See MPEP § 706.07(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher S. Kim whose telephone number is (571) 272-4905. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 6:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Scherbel can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Christopher S. Kim
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3752

CK